

REMARKS

The Office Action dated October 8, 2008 has been fully considered by the Applicant.

The indication that Claims 9 and 10 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form is gratefully acknowledged. On page 8 of the Office Action, the Examiner states:

“The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not show or teach an electrical return having magnetic shielding while being conductive in addition to a flux guide unit as recited in the claims and in combination with the other features of the claims.”

Accordingly, the limitations of Claims 8 and 9 have been included in Claim 1 (with the exception of the permeability of $\mu > 1$).

It is believed that independent Claim 1 is now in condition for allowance. Additionally, new independent Claim 32 has been added which includes all of the limitations of Claims 8, 9 and 10 (with the exception of the permeability of $\mu > 1$).


All of the remaining claims are dependent on independent Claim 1 and are believed allowable for the same reasons.

If any other issues remain, a telephone conference with the Examiner is requested. Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$52 to cover the government fee for one additional claim in excess of twenty. If any further fees are associated with this action, please charge or credit Deposit Account No. 08-1500.

Respectfully Submitted

HEAD, JOHNSON & KACHIGIAN

Dated: January 7, 2009

By: 
Mark G. Kachigian, Reg. No. 32,840
228 West 17th Place
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119
(918) 587-2000
Attorneys for Applicant